## European Perspectives on EHS

9 June,2008

### Kamal Hossain National Physical Laboratory, UK





# The European Policy in Nanotechnology integrated, safe and responsible

The European Strategy for nanotechnology, the Action Plan and the first implementation report

COM(2004) 338 of 12.5.2004 COM(2005) 243 of 7.6.2005

COM(2007) 505 of 6.9.2007

Health, safety, environmental and consumer protection Societal Issues Infrastructure

Research and Development

Industrial Innovation

Human Resources International Co-operation



Policy for ensuring appropriate control of the potential risks of nanotechnologies

- Commitment to understanding the potential risks and to managing them with a proportionate regulatory framework
- Existing legislation in diverse areas such as environmental protection, health and safety, and the safety of food, medicines, medical devices cosmetics and consumer products offers protection
- By legislation, manufacturer has to carry out risk assessment to determine whether new new products are safe and can be placed on the market
- Government has the responsibility to ensure adequate methods for identifying hazards and risk evaluations are available
- Risks are managed according to the current state of knowledge, with a precautionary approach taken if there is reason to believe that there might be harm even if the extent of that harm has not been established





#### FP5 Projects on safety of nanoparticles



~2.5M€

FP6 Projects on safety of nanoparticles



FP7 Projects on safety of nanoparticles







#### FP5 projects on Safety aspects of Engineered Nanomaterials

#### NANO-PATHOLOGY NANODERM NANOSAFE





#### **RUNNING FP6 PROJECTS**

#### ON SAFETY OF NANOPARTICLES:

- CELLNANOTOX

- IMPART

- NANOSH

- NANOSAFE2

- NANOCAP

- DIPNA

- NANOINTERACT

- PARTICLE-RISK

- SAPHIR

#### STANDARDISATION AND METROLOGY:


- NANO-STRAND

- NANOTRANSPORT





# FP7 budget (in billion €, total 50.521)







# The Cooperation specific programme – 9 themes and budget

I. Cooperation	Budget (€ million, current prices)
1. Health	6100
2. Biotechnology, food and agriculture	1935
3. Information and communication technologies	9050
4. Nanotechnologies, materials and production	3475
5. Energy	2350
6. Environment (incl. climate change)	1890
7. Transport (incl. aeronautics)	4160
8. Socio-economic sciences and the humanities	623
9. Security	1430
10. Space	1400
Total	32 413

R&D activities related to safety can be found under different priorities







# Impact on Health and the Environment calls in WP 2007

NMP-2007-1.3-1 Large CP	Specific, easy-to-use portable devices for measurement and analysis
NMP-2007-1.3-2 CP	Risk assessment of engineered nanoparticles on health and the environment
NMP-2007-1.3-3 CSA	Scientific review on the data and studies on the potential impact on health, safety and the environment of engineered nanoparticles
NMP-2007-1.3-4 CSA	Creation of a critical and commented database on the health, safety and environmental impact of nanoparticles
NMP-2007-1.3-5 CSA	Coordination in studying the environmental, safety and health impact of engineered nanoparticles and nanotechnology based materials and products
HEALTH-2007- 1.3-4 CP	Alternative testing strategies for the assessment of the toxicological profile of nanoparticles used in medical diagnostics







# Impact on Health and the Environment calls in WP 2008

# Activity 4.1: Nano S&T

# 4.1.3: Health, Safety and Environmental Impacts

- NMP-2008-1.3-1 Validation, adaptation and/or development of risk assessment methodology for engineered nanoparticles
- NMP-2008-1.3-2 Impact of engineered nanoparticles on health and the environment



### **EU Funded Projects**

Cellnanotox: Cellular interaction and toxicology with engineered nanoparticles – correlation between physicochemical characteristics of NP and their toxic potential on human organs

Dipna: Development of an integrated platform for nanotoxicity analysis to verify their possible toxicity and eco-toxicity

Saphir: Safe, integrated and controlled production of high tech multifunctional materials and their recycling

National Physical Laboratory



# **NanoTEST**

Development of methodology for alternative testing strategies for the assessment of the toxicological profile of nanoparticles used in medical diagnostics

Coordinator Maria Dusinska, NILU

Starting date: April 1st, 2008

Length: 42 months

Total budget: 3,934,279 Euro

EC contribution: 2,994,383 Euro






# NanoTEST



The overall aim is to develop alternative testing strategies and high-throughput toxicity-testing protocols using in vitro and in silico methods essential for the risk assessment of NP used in

medical diagnostics.







# NanoImpactNet -

The European Network on the Health and Environmental Impact of Nanomaterials

Coordinator Michael Riediker, Institute for Work and Health, Lausanne





# EU nanotechnology R&D in the field of health and environmental impact of nanoparticles

information of 106 projects, 14 of them are from the FPs which give around 32 million € in grants. The others 92 projects are from the EU Members States which spend around 47 million € in grants. This makes a total of some 79 million €.

#### Available at

ftp://ftp.cordis.europa.eu/pub/nanotechnology/docs/final-version.pdf





## Information on Nanotechnology in EC



#### Commission Nanotechnologies homepage

http://cordis.europa.eu/nanotechnology/ http://ec.europa.eu/nanotechnology/index en.html

 Nanosciences and Nanotechnologies: An Action Plan for Europe 2005-2009:

http://cordis.europa.eu/nanotechnology/actionplan.htm

•First Implementation Report 2005-2007:

ftp://ftp.cordis.europa.eu/pub/nanotechnology/docs/com 2007 050

5 f en.pdf

#### Additional information on nanotechnology:





### **Key Priorities**

- A fundamental requirement to be able to measure and characterise nanomaterials in a range of media (air, soil, water and in testing nanoparticles for toxicity). This includes having appropriate methods and instrumentation, the ability to differentiate manufactured materials from naturally occurring nanoparticles in the environment and the ability to measure nanomaterials in biological systems.
- A critical need to understand which physico/chemical properties of nanomaterials are important for toxicity/ecotoxicity such as particle size and size distribution, surface area, surface properties, aspect ratio, surface charge etc.

## Key Priorities (Cont)

- A means of prioritising nanomaterials for EHS studies and identifying a set of 'reference' or representative materials for testing. This requires separate consideration for occupational exposure and exposure from consumer products and via the environment.
- A need to know whether methods used in hazard assessment of chemicals, specifically OECD test guidelines (or their equivalents), are fit for purpose for use with nanomaterials.
- A testing plan for 'reference' or representative materials to establish potential hazards to human health and the environment for a range of nanoparticles through an agreed set of toxicity methods.
- The requirement for a review of current risk assessment approaches and associated methodologies for chemicals with regard to their potential suitability for dealing with nanomaterials.

# Priorities for the development of Measurement Methods

- Quantification of dose in toxicological studies
- Quantification and characterisation of engineered nanoparticles against a background of particles of similar size but less aggressive chemistry
- Characterisation of Surface Area and Surface Chemistry
- Compact, rapid, and inexpensive measurement methods that can form the basis for future workplace risk assessment
- Documentary standards describing the above methods, and appropriate reference materials to validate them

National Physical Laboratory

#### Priorities for characterisation of reference/test materials

- Aerodynamic equivalent diameter
- Absolute length
- Specific surface area
- Number of particles per unit mass
- Concentration of bulk and/or surface contaminants
- Polymorphic composition

A further ten characteristics of lower priorities;

Appropriateness and availability of characterisation methods - an issue

